



## **The National Congenital Heart Disease Audit**

**Procedures for  
CONGENITAL HEART DISEASE,  
April 2019 – March 2020**

**Data Quality Audit**

**The Newcastle Upon Tyne Teaching Hospitals NHS  
Foundation Trust.**

**3 September 2020**

*performed by Lin Denne and Dr A Tometzki*



## Summary

The NCHDA data return from the cardiac department of the Freeman Hospital for the data collection year 2019/20 indicated that 846 procedures (291 surgery, 486, catheters, 86 others, 7 deaths [within 30 days of a procedure]) had been undertaken in patients with congenital heart disease.

This validation visit has been fully funded by Newcastle upon Tyne University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust.

As previously reported, there is a Cardiothoracic Services Information Manager post at this Trust whose remit covers all 6 of the NICOR data collections. There are a further 6 other members of the Cardiac Information Team at FRE covering 6.0WTEs. There is a 1.0WTE individual Data Manager (DM) dedicated to the NCHDA collection and since February 2020 an assistant data manager has been appointed.

Data are entered into a Dendrite Intellect system at various points of service throughout the hospital, ie operating theatres, cath labs etc. When checked for accuracy, completeness and validity these data are submitted to the NCHDA database.

### Actions Reported since previous validation visit in 2019:

1. appointment of a 1.0WTE assistant data manager.

### Data Quality Indicator (DQI)

The DQI for the Trust is calculated to be (with previous years in parentheses) **99.75%** (99, 98.75, .99, 97) with domain scores Demographics 1.0 (1.0, 1.0 1.0) Pre Procedure .99 (.985, .96, .97) Procedure 1.0 (.99, .99, .995,) and Outcome 1.0 (.99, 1.0, .99).

The calculation is based on the validation of 20 patients hospital notes who underwent 21 procedures. (12 therapeutic catheter procedures and 9 surgical operations). There were 3 discrepancies in 854 variables

### Separate DQI for Surgery and for Catheters

On further review of the DQI when the cases were split into their surgery and catheter groups and the scores were;



Year	Data Year Validated	Surgery	Caths
2011	09/10	96.75%	93.25%
2012	10/11	97.75%	95.60%
2013	11/12	97%	99%
2014	13/14	97.25%	95.50%
2015	14/15	97.25%	97%
2016	15/16	98.5%	97%
2017	16/17	98.25%	99%
2018	17/18	99.75%	98.25%
2019	18/19	99%	99%
2020	19/20	100%	99.5%

The NCHDA pre visit Questionnaire was completed and returned prior to the validation visit. This confirmed that there are good processes and procedures in place in regard to:

- Data Security and Management
- Validation and Quality Assurance
- Training in Data Management
- Information Governance Training

There is or are identified accountable person/people for NCHDA data quality and information validity  
Data Submissions are Timely and Accurate

**Consent for External Validation of Notes.**

Since May 2018, the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) required that patients are made aware of how their data collected and used. As such, NCHDA now no longer requires a specific consent to examine hospital case notes. If a patient has expressed a wish not to allow their case notes to be examined by others not connected to their care, these wishes will be respected.

Prior to the visit a file with 20 case notes (the Sample) and 10 Reserves was sent to the cardiothoracic information manager. 20 sets of notes (15 Samples, 5 Reserves) were available on the day. The Trust are currently using mixed paper and electronic patient hospital notes.

**Introduction**



FRE NCHDA Report 2020

As stated above, the NCHDA data return from the cardiac department of the Freeman Hospital for the year 2019/20 and harvested for this visit, indicated that 846 procedures (291 surgery, 486, catheters, 86 others, 7 deaths [within 30 days of a procedure]).

The Congenital Data Auditor for the NCHDA undertook the visit remotely via a Starleaf connection with an external Consultant Congenital Cardiologist on site in person.

As stated above, 20 sets of notes were requested. The accuracy of the NCHDA data return was then checked against each set of notes. The Specific Procedures algorithm grouping is also validated for the case notes seen.

FRE are still in the process of going paper-lite. Some documents were viewed on the electronic patient record or had been printed and added to the hospital paper bound notes. The paper case notes were often large and bulky.

#### **Review of case notes**

1. The case notes, had been meticulously prepared with sticky notes to identify many of the particular pages that the Reviewers needed to validate data.
2. As previously reported, the casenotes were mostly in chronological order but as stated above some were quite wieldy to handle. The assistance of the NCHDA Data Manager on the day was invaluable.
3. Echocardiography reports were seen in the case notes but did not always detail the percentage of function for each ventricle.

#### **Review of the Cath Lab and Theatre Log Books**

##### **Log books from Cath Labs 1,2, and 3 were offered for review.**

The cath lab log books are bespoke bound volumes with ruled columns for various pieces of information which are completed by hand. As previously reported, product identifying labels are also adhered to the relevant entry. Sometimes the labels over lay the procedure descriptions. This made it difficult on occasions to identify if a procedure was for congenital heart disease or not or exactly what procedure had actually be performed.

1. Approximately 50 catheter procedures were not validated in the log book. This may be because they occurred in the cath labs for which the registers were not reviewed or it is unclear whether or not procedures are for patients with congenital heart disease.
2. 2 submitted records were identified that may not be for congenital heart disease
3. It was also noted on occasions that non congenital cardiologists appear to be doing a few procedures in congenital patients such as pacemaker box changes.

**Registers Operating Theatres 1, 2, 3 and 19 were offered for review.**

The log books are bespoke bound volumes with ruled columns for various pieces of information which are completed by hand. As reported previously, the legibility of the handwriting for some entries was quite poor. It was extremely challenging at times to identify whether younger adult patients were having operations for congenital heart disease or acquired or inherited heart disease.

1. 0 records were identified that may have been missed from the submission
2. 1 submitted record may have a discrepancy in it

F E M I N A L

# Validation of Deceased Patients Diagnostic and Procedure Coding

Commencing with the validation of the 2013/14 data, the National Congenital Heart Disease Audit wish to verify any dates of death of deceased patients included in the year under review. The diagnosis and procedure coding will also be validated. The requirement for patient/parent/guardian consent to review the case notes is as stated above. 7 deaths within 30 days of a procedure for congenital heart disease were identified from the submitted data. for 2019-20. The PRAiS2 sensitive fields were reviewed for each of the patients and the findings were:

1. All dates of death were found to be correct
2. 1 record may have a discrepancy in the diagnosis field.

It is reported that the Summary Care Record is checked for NCHDA patient life status on a regular basis but that does not appear to be networking between the NCHDA data manager and the Liaison Nurses who may be aware of other out of hospital deaths outside of England or Wales

### Casenote Audit

	Parameter	Total Score	Total No	Comments	Scores for Cardiology & Surgery	
					C	S
1	Hospital Number	20	20		12	8
2	NHS Number	20	20		12	8
3	Surname	20	20		12	8
4	First Name	20	20		12	8
5	Sex	20	20		12	8
6	DOB	20	20		12	8
7	Ethnicity	20	20		12	8
8	Patient Status	20	20		12	8
9	Postcode	20	20		12	8
10	Pre Procedure Diagnosis	21	21		12	9
11	Previous Procedures	21	21		15	11
12	Patients Weight at Operation	20	21	1 incorrect	13/12	9
13	Height	21	21		12	9
14	Ante Natal Diagnosis	-	-		-	-
15	Pre Proc Seizures	21	21		12	9
16	Pre Proc NYHA	13	14	1 unable to validate	8/9	5
17	Pre Proc Smoker	14	14		9	5
18	Pre Proc Diabetes	14	14		9	5
19	Hx Pulmonary Dis	14	14		9	5
20	Pre Proc IHD	14	14		9	5
21	Comorbidity Present	21	21		12	9
22	Comorbid Conditions	29	29		14	16
23	Pre Proc Systemic Ventricular EF	21	21		12	9
24	Pre Proc Sub Pul Ventricular EF	21	21		12	9
25	Pre-proc valve/septal defect/ vessel size	3	4	1 unable to validate	3/4	-
26	Consultant	21	21		12	9



	Parameter	Total Score	Total No	Comments	Scores for Cardiology & Surgery	
					C	S
27	Date of Procedure	21	21		12	9
28	Time Start	21	21		12	9
29	Proc Urgency	0	0		-	-
30	Unplanned Proc	5	5		5	-
31	Single Operator	21	21		12	9
32	Operator 1	21	21		12	9
33	Operator 1 Grade	16	16		7	9
34	Operator 2	16	16		7	9
35	Procedure Type	21	21		12	9
36	Sternotomy Sequence	9	9		-	9
37	Operation Performed	21	21		12	9
38	Sizing balloon used for septal defect	6	6		6	-
39	No of stents or coils	1	1		1	-
40	Device Manufacturer	14	14		7	7
41	Device Model	14	14		7	7
42	Device Ser No	14	14		7	7
43	Device Size	11	11		4	7
44	Total Bypass Time	9	9		-	9
45	XClamp Time,	7	7		-	7
46	Total Arrest	0	0		-	0
47	Cath Proc Time,	12	12		12	-
48	Cath Fluro Time,	12	12		12	-
49	Cath Fluro Dose,	12	12		12	-



FRE NCHDA Report 2020

	Parameter	Total Score	Total No	Comments	Scores for Cardiology & Surgery	
					C	S
50	Duration of Post Op Intubation	9	9		-	9
51	Post Procedure Seizures	21	21		12	9
52	Post Proc Complications	1	1		1	-
53	Date of Discharge	21	21		12	9
54	Date of Death	-	-		-	-
55	Attribution of Death	-	-		-	-
56	Status at Discharge	21	21		12	9
57	Discharge Destination	21	21		12	9



FRE NCHDA Report 2020

Data Quality Indicator Assessment:

The Overall Trust DQI = 99.75%

Cardiology DQI = 99.5%

Surgery DQI = 100%

This DQI is based upon the domain scoring below. The methodology for this DQI is provided in the paper The CCAD Audit – An Introduction to the Process.

DOMAIN	DOMAIN Score	
<p><b><u>Demographics</u></b></p> <p>Hospital Number, NHS Number, Surname, First Name, DOB, Sex, Ethnicity, Postcode, Patient Status,</p>	<b>Overall 1.0</b>	
	<b>Card</b> 1.0	<b>Surg</b> 1.0
<p><b><u>Pre Procedure</u></b></p> <p>Pre procedure Diagnosis, Selected Previous Procedures, Patient Weight at Operation, Consultant, Antenatal Diagnosis, Pre Procedure Seizures, Comorbid Conditions,</p> <p><b>Height, Pre Procedure NYHA, Pre Procedure Smoker, Pre Procedure Diabetes, Previous Pulmonary Disease, Pre Procedure Ischaemic Heart Disease, Comorbidity Present, Pre Procedure Systemic Ventricular Ejection Fraction, Pre Procedure Sub Pulmonary Ejection Fraction, Pre Procedure valve/septal defect/vessel size,</b></p> <p>Note, the scores for his domain are affected by the selected previous procedure and pre procedure diagnosis</p>	<b>Overall .99</b>	
	<b>Card</b> .98	<b>Surg</b> 1.0
<p><b><u>Procedure</u></b></p> <p>Date of procedure, Operator 1, Operator 2 Cardiopulmonary Bypass used, Operator 1 grade, Operator 2 grade, Operation performed, Sternotomy sequence, Bypass Time, CircArrest, XClamp Time, Cath Proc Time, Cath Fluro Time, Cath Fluro Dose,</p> <p><b>Time Start, Procedure Urgency, Unplanned Procedure, Single Operator, Sizing Balloon Used, No of Stents/Coils, Device Mfr, Device Model, Device Ser No, Device Size,</b></p>	<b>Overall 1.0</b>	
	<b>Card</b> 1.0	<b>Surg</b> 1.0
<p><b><u>Outcome</u></b></p> <p>Duration of Post Op Intubation, Post Procedure Seizures, Date of Discharge, Date of Death, Status at Discharge, Discharge Destination.</p> <p><b>Post Procedure Complications.</b></p>	<b>Overall 1.0</b>	
	<b>Card</b> 1.0	<b>Surg</b> 1.0



FRE NCHDA Report 2020

**Data Quality Indicator Assessment by domain:**

<b>DOMAIN</b>	<b>2020</b>	<b>2019</b>	<b>2018</b>	<b>2017</b>	<b>2016</b>
<b>Demographics</b>	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
<b>Pre Procedure</b>	.99	.985	.97	.97	.97
<b>Procedure</b>	1.0	.99	99	99.5	.93
<b>Outcome</b>	1.0	.99	1.0	99	1.0

FINAL

## Conclusions

On the whole the NCHDA data was accurate, well documented, good quality and were appropriately recorded in the Cath Lab and Theatre log books. The hospital case notes for each of the patients included in the Data Quality Indicator (DQI) analysis had been meticulously prepared by the Congenital Data Manager.

Electronic log books are not yet in use at this centre. The DQI continues to be of an excellent standard, and demonstrates that the NCHDA data collection and audit processes in place to support it at this centre are working well. It is clear that the Data Manager has invested many extra hours without a nominated assistant outside those contracted, to ensure this.

The Reviewers are pleased to report that FRE now has 2.0WTE dedicated individuals who look after the NCHDA data. However, it should be noted that it is a recommended standard of the New Congenital Heart Disease Review (NHSE May 2016 for Level 1 ACHD services (B33L1) that each centre providing these services must also have a dedicated congenital cardiac surgery/cardiology data collection manager, responsible for ACHD data and data submissions in accordance with necessary timescales.

As previously reported, data entry is now possible at a wider range of locations as each user has their own user ID and password to the information collection system Intellect.

NCHDA acknowledge that the data collection year 2019-2020 has been difficult in the environment of a pandemic and thank every congenital centre for their dedicated and conscientious contribution to this registry.

As previously reported, some of the detail of implantable devices (manufacturer, model and serial number) was still difficult to find and it is of concern that these details did not always appear to be included in the patients hospital notes.

It was also noted that on some occasions that the diagnoses coding used did not always completely reconcile with the procedure performed.

As previously reported, there was also some difficulty in accurately identifying procedures for congenital heart disease in all of the log books seen. Some operating theatre and cathlab log book entries did not record what procedure was performed at all and in other records for young adult patients it was not clear whether or not the procedure being performed was for congenital, acquired or inherited heart disease.

## Validation of Deceased Patients Case Notes



FRE NCHDA Report 2020

As reported above, there was 1 discrepancy identified. The Reviewers also became aware that there does not appear to be mechanism for outreach or network nurses to liaise with the DBM in relation to congenital patients who may die following discharge.

FINAL

**Recommendations**

1. It is suggested that in line with the ACHD Specialist Surgical Standards (NHSE May 2016, B33L1) a dedicated data collection manager is recruited, to be responsible for ACHD audit and database submissions in accordance with necessary timescales
2. The standard operating procedures (SOP) for the NCHDA data collection should be reviewed to ensure that clear guidance is given on exactly how to capture all data on both paediatric and adult congenital cardiac patients in a timely manner. The SOP should clearly set out exactly who is responsible for;
  - a. Input of congenital patients NCHDA required dataset items and at which point of service delivery
  - b. Encouraging responsible clinician input of the procedure data for each operation, diagnostic or catheter intervention at the point of the service delivery and particularly data that cannot be entered at the time of the procedure, such as intubation time and complications
  - c. Recording the knife to skin time for all surgical procedures where it can be validated (ie perfusion or anaesthetic record).
  - d. Validity checking and completeness and the time intervals for feedback to responsible clinicians on this with a clear time scale and line of responsibility for rectifying any omissions or errors in both surgery and cardiology disciplines
  - e. Recording implanted device details on the operation or intervention procedure note.
  - f. Reverse validation of the data submitted to NCHDA by responsible clinicians in conjunction with the Data Managers at least monthly.
  - g. Running the PRAiS (Paediatric Risk Analysis in Surgery) analysis tool monthly. This will inform the quarterly NHSE Dashboard reports.
  - h. Ensuring that dates of death are reported for any FRE patient who has previously had a record submitted to the NCHDA
  - i. Leading the local review (and how frequently and in which forum for both disciplines)
  - j. Making timely submissions (monthly is recommended) and
  - k. Including details of manufacturer, model and serial numbers of all implantable devices with each patient record for a procedure.
  - l. Reviewing/Updating the SOP at timely intervals
  - m. Liaising with network and outreach clinics in regard to out of hospital deaths of NCHDA patients
3. It is recommended to clearly identify in log books for both cath lab and operating room congenital patients who undergo therapeutic cardiac procedures by non congenital colleagues.
4. It is recommended that all NCHDA Data Managers visit another congenital centre on an annual basis to observe processes and practices, share experiences and network.



FRE NCHDA Report 2020

5. Attendance at the next NCHDA Stakeholders (venue and date tbc) by DBM and lead clinician for congenital heart disease

FINAL